



Bankers Want to Rule the World

BY IAN G. ANDERSON

Chairman of the Candour League of Rhodesia

THE GREATEST difficulty lies in explaining the relationship between International Socialism (Communism) and International Finance. It is not an arithmetical equation, e.g. I.S. + I.F. = International Conspiracy; but it is better expressed as a chemical equation: out of the interaction of I.S. and I.F. comes a third compound, International Conspiracy. This is explained by Communistic theorists as "dialectic" and the basic premise of Communism is "dialectic materialism".

To start somewhere, consider the Russian Revolutions of 1917.

There were two: in March, a popular (i.e., of the people) revolution against the Tsar, against the war with Germany, against shortages and miseries on the home front, etc., which led to the Provisional Government under Kerensky.

In October, Lenin was sent across Europe in a closed train with funds supplied by American bankers (Jacob Schiff, of Kuhn, Loeb and Co.) to wreck the Kerensky regime and turn a popular rising into a Communist revolution.

He was successful; and the debt to the American bankers was acknowledged and repaid with interest by Stalin in the 1930s.

Explaining the conspiracy must, of necessity, take the form largely of drawing to readers' attention some of the important sources of the information they need.

This task has been made easier by the publication in recent years of a massive tome entitled *Tragedy and Hope: a History of the World in Our Time*, by Dr. Carroll Quigley, Professor of History at the Foreign Service School of Georgetown University, Washington, D.C.

Writing as an insider and not as a critic of the conspiracy, Quigley admits frankly: "I have known of the operations of this network because I have studied it for 20 years and was permitted for two years . . . to examine its papers and secret records. I have no aversion to it or to most of its aims and have, for much of my life, been close to it and to many of its instruments.

"I have objected both in the past and recently to a few of its policies . . . but in general my chief difference of opinion is that it wishes to remain unknown, and I believe its role in history is significant enough to be known."

Book No Longer Available

This most important book, published by the Macmillan Co. of New York, has disappeared from bookstores and from many public libraries. Evidently, the "forces behind the international conspiracy" do not share Professor Quigley's opinion that the time has come when the history, operations and motivations of the conspiracy should be made known.

For those who do not have access to the Quigley book, the next best to consult is *The Naked Capitalist*, by Professor Cleon Skousen, which is a review and commentary on the Quigley book.

Quigley explains that during the last two centuries, when the peoples of the world were freeing themselves from dynastic monarchies, the major banking families of Europe and America were reversing the trend by setting up new dynasties of political power by means of international banking combines.

"In time they brought into their financial network the provincial banking centres, organised as commercial banks and saving banks, as well as insurance companies, to form all of these into a single financial system on an international scale which manipulated the quantity and flow of money so that they were able to influence, if not control, governments on one side and industries on the other. . . .

"The greatest of these dynasties, of course, were the descendants of Mayer Amschel Rothschild of Frankfort, whose male descendants, for at least two generations, married first cousins or even nieces.

"Rothschild's five sons established branches in Vienna, London, Naples and Paris, as well as Frankfurt, and co-operated in ways which other international banking dynasties copied but rarely excelled."

Other major banking dynasties mentioned by Quigley are Baring, Lazard, Erlanger, Warburg, Schroder, Seligman, Speyer, Mirabaud, Mallet and Fould, but "above all, Rothschild and Morgan"; and he explains with much detail how the concentration of financial power which these dynasties represent has been transformed down the years into a worldwide network of political power and influence.

On the Inside

Dr. Quigley who, working from the inside, so unexpectedly endorses interpretations of contemporary history arrived at by conservatives, has much to tell us about what many regard as a weird and utterly inexplicable relationship between the super-rich and the revolutionary activities of the radical Left, including the Communists. A brief quotation follows:

"In 1951 the Sub-Committee on Internal Security of the Senate Judiciary Committee, the so-called McCarran Committee, sought

to show that China had been lost to the Communists by the deliberate actions of a group of academic experts on the Far East and fellow travellers whose work in that direction was controlled and co-ordinated by the Institute of Pacific Relations (IPR). The influence of the Communists in IPR is well established but the patronage of Wall Street is less well known".

The IPR is one of the off-shoots of the American Council on Foreign Relations, like the American Committee on Africa—not to mention the U.S.-S.A. Leader Exchange Programme.

A second major work is *Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development*, by Professor A. Sutton, of Stanford University, which clearly shows that every major technological development in Soviet Russia from its inception has been aided by massive infusions of technical and financial aid from the so-called Western democracies; including Russia's one outstanding achievement—astronautics.

Also, time and time again Russia's disastrous agricultural policies have led to crop failure and famine, from which it has been repeatedly rescued by the dumping of millions of bushels of wheat from America and Canada.

Knowing all this, we are not surprised by the news that President Nixon on his recent visit to Moscow scaled down the Soviet Lease-Lend debt from 11-billion dollars to less than one-billion (which in any case the Americans are never likely to see), and offered 2,5-billion dollars in credits to pay for imports from the U.S.A.; which can only have the effect of strengthening the Soviet Union's military and industrial potential.

Today the notion that International Communism stands in direct opposition to International Finance simply does not bear examination in the light of proved and verifiable facts.

In a word, the International Conspiracy seeks *control* of every facet of human existence through the worldwide control of finance; by the breaking down of nationalism, of patriotism, of religion, of the rights

(Continued on page 50)

political decentralization, that remote government is irresponsible government. It would make greater sense to grant independence to each of our 48 states than to surrender U.S. sovereignty to a world organization. America must choose between a policy that secures American freedom, and a policy that subordinates our country and its interests to those of foreign powers.

3. *National Review* will endeavor, in short, to counteract the reprehensible journalistic trend toward a genteel uniformity of opinion, and even of style. This nation, we contend, is not yet ready for that decadent, lukewarm mood of indifference which permeates our Liberal press and, insofar as editorial convictions are concerned, makes most national journals indistinguishable from one another. *National Review* is committed to what once was called personal journalism—the

manly presentation of deeply felt convictions. It loves controversy. Dedicated to reason, it despises the cant of sweet reasonableness. It has no patience with those certified gentlemen who deem themselves, and their sentimental uniformity, above and beyond intellectual attack. *National Review*, in short, will never join the mutual-admiration society of complacent American journalism.

4. *National Review* will apply to its own performance the highest professional standards. . . . It will undertake to present, week after week, the most muscular, outspoken, relevant and wittiest comments on public affairs that can be got from writers who are, congenitally, unable to hide or to palliate their convictions. It will also undertake to supply the reader, week after week, with information that has been either suppressed or interpreted beyond recognition by the Respectable press.

Bankers Want to Rule the World

(Continued from page 47)

of governments to order their own affairs, through the agency of the United Nations Organization, the World Bank, and other bodies.

This explains the ditching of Free China (Taiwan), an independent, self-supporting country, in favour of Red China; the general assault on Rhodesia and South Africa (also independent and self-supporting) and the favouring by the Left of the more easily manipulated black governments to the north.

It explains the pressure on the British Government to "get into Europe" against the expressed disapproval of the British electorate. This aspect is summarized by A. K. Chesterton, writing in *Candour* (Feb. 1973):

"During the last several years, take-over

bids have followed take-over bids, in every sphere one merger has been succeeded by still larger mergers, not only in our own country, but throughout the world.

"As we know, in a large number of instances British companies have joined forces with continental companies to form supranational mergers; but this is not enough for the new unhappy lords.

"To facilitate the working of the iniquitous banking system, to pave the road towards monopoly for the financial, industrial and commercial tycoons, to eliminate governmental interference and to reduce human beings to regimented groups of producers and consumers, it has been determined that mankind must come under the central control, politically, economically and strategically, of a single world authority.